Jim Le ar 918 F St., MW # 510 Washington, DC 20004 Dear Jim.

In one sense your letter of the 20th came here at the right time. I'd just finished what I was writing. But in another sense, it is not a good time. I'm too tired to trust myself to think re lly clearly. Some of it is probably from the intenteity with which I've been working on the bolk you so so steadfastly refused to do simple things to help with. But I'm nearing the end of that draft.

An tou know, I'm not up to date on any of the new releases. I note the consistency in a mobody having told me about or eat me copies of your (5), that "new information" that tends of su gest there was a conspiracy. Because you all knew I was writing a book probably emplains that. Also your (5) Wrong on (6) I marked that to warn you that I thin't that with that formulation you and Convers have a good chance of losing some teeth. Blakey et all saw to it that nothing would come of what they evolved as much as they could and that cen't be undone now. Her can the report of the damsey Panel. I'd skip that if I were you.

From Roger I gather he has real confidence in what Robertson has done. Hope so?

From Roger I gather withholdings are not with extined exemption posted. Now can that
be as e led or contested? And would one file against Archives? New bottleneck if so?

Not knowing what close can be pertinent I do not know what to add. Perhaps after this hearing, if I be ra more, I may write Convers separately.

Harolf